Usefulness of Hybrid Capture HPV DNA Assay as a Diagnostic Tool for Human Papillomavirus Infection
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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the usefulness of the hybrid capture HPV DNA assay, a new nonradioactive solution hybridization assay, as a diagnostic tool for human papillomavirus infection. In a total of 234 women, samples for the hybrid capture assay and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay were obtained by wiping a swab across the cervix and external os (either a Dacron swab or a cotton swab was used). The Papanicolaou smear test (Pap smear) was carried out on all 234 women. Tissue samples for biopsy were obtained by colposcopy from 118 of the women. Fisher exact test was used for statistical analyses. Using the hybrid capture assay, HPV DNA of high- and intermediate-oncogenic-risk type was detected in 23 (13.9%) of 166 samples from women with Pap smear Class I or II, and 48 (70.6%) of 68 with Pap smear Class III, IV or V (p<0.0001). The HPV DNA type was detected in 18 (29.0%) of 62 samples from those with no evidence of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and 44 (78.6%) of 56 with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia or squamous cell carcinoma (p<0.0001). Correlation of the test results between the hybrid capture test and PCR was determined by using the 217 samples in which both test results were available (PCR test results were not obtainable in 17 samples. When PCR is set as a gold standard, the hybrid capture test has high sensitivity (74.6%) and specificity (92.1%). These findings suggest that the hybrid capture HPV DNA assay is a useful method for diagnosing HPV infection in the clinic.

Introduction

Among microorganisms causing sexually transmitted diseases (STD), the human papillomavirus (HPV) is recognized as the major cause of cervical cancer precursor lesions1). Early detection of HPV genital tract infection is important especially in asymptomatic individuals, because the majority of individuals with HPV genital tract infection do not present visible lesions. Since serologic assays and cell cultures are not available for detecting HPV infection, HPV DNA detection assays including the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), Southern blot, dot blot and in situ hybridization become important tools in the detection of HPV infection. Of these, PCR assay and Southern blots have higher
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sensitivity and specificity\(^1\), however these are too complicated for routine clinical use. Dot blot is a rapid test, but has low sensitivity. Although \textit{in situ} hybridization can detect the HPV location in the tissue, it has low sensitivity\(^1\)\(^\text{-}^4\). The hybrid capture HPV DNA assay (Digene Diagnostics, MD, USA) is a solution hybridization method in which ribonucleic acid (RNA) probes for HPV DNA are hybridized in solution with the sample DNA\(^5\).

We conducted a clinical study to determine the usefulness of the hybrid capture HPV DNA assay for detecting HPV DNA in the uterine cervix by comparing the detection rate using this method with that using the PCR method.

**Materials and Methods**

A total of 234 women were enrolled in this study. The women visited either the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Juntendo Urayasu Hospital, Juntendo University or Urayasu Ichikawa City Hospital from October 1997 through March 1998. The purpose of the visit was evaluation of a previously abnormal Papanicolaous smear, follow-up after therapy for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, or routine screening. Their ages ranged from 17 to 73 years (mean \( \pm \) SD; 41.5 \( \pm \) 11.0). Papanicolaou smear test, hybrid capture assay and PCR assay were performed on these 234 patients with the patient’s consent. The numbers of the patients with Papanicolaou smear Class I + II, IIIa, IIb, and IV + V were 166, 37, 7 and 24, respectively. Colposcopy-guided cervical biopsy was performed in 118 of the 234 women, consisting of 62 with no evidence of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN), 18 with CIN grade I (CIN 1), 16 with CIN 2, 13 with CIN 3, and 9 with squamous cell carcinomas.

The samples for hybrid capture assay and PCR assay were obtained by firmly wiping a swab with a Dacron stick (207 specimens collected at Juntendo Urayasu Hospital) or a cotton swab (27 specimens collected at Urayasu Ichikawa City Hospital) across the cervix and external os. The swab was immediately placed into a specimen collection tube containing 1.0 ml of specimen transport medium and stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until it was assayed. A Papanicolaou smear was obtained by the routine method before collecting the sample for hybrid capture.

**Hybrid capture assay**

The hybrid capture assay was performed according to the directions of the manufacturer. Briefly, in a micro tube, RNA probes were hybridized with HPV DNA which had been denatured in a sample collection tube. DNA and RNA hybrids were then placed onto the surface of a microplate coated with anti-DNA and RNA hybrid antibody, and reacted with another anti-DNA and RNA hybrid antibody mixture conjugated with alkaline phosphatase. The RNA-DNA hybrids were detected by chemiluminescence. Samples were considered to be positive if the index value (test sample value/positive control value) was \( \geq 1.0 \), and negative if the index value was \(< 1.0 \). The hybrid capture kit contains two separate HPV probe mixtures. One probe mixture, designated A, detects low-oncogenic-risk HPV types, including types 6, 11, 42, 43, and 44. The other probe mixture, designated B, detects high- and intermediate-oncogenic-risk HPV types, including 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and 68. In the present study, the positive rate was calculated by counting the specimen reacting with the probe B.

**PCR assay**

DNA samples for PCR amplification were prepared from clinical specimens by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Two sets of L1 consensus primers, MY09/MY11 and Gp5+/Gp6+, were used for PCR. PCR conditions for MY09/MY11 primers were: 5 min pre-incubation at 95°C, 35 thermal cycling with 60 sec denaturation at 95°C, 2 min annealing at 55°C and 2 min extension at 72°C, then an additional 3 min incubation at 72°C. The PCR conditions for Gp5+/
Gp6+ primers were: 40 thermal cycling with 60 sec denaturation at 94°C, 2 min annealing at 40°C and 1.5 min extension at 72°C. After the amplification, 10 µl of the PCR products was analyzed by 4% agarose gel electrophoresis and subsequent ethidium bromide staining. PCR with β-globin-specific primers which produce a 408 base pair product was also conducted to confirm correct sample preparation. Samples positive for either MY09/MY11 or Gp5+/Gp6+ were determined as HPV PCR-positive. The low-oncogenic-risk HPV types and high- and intermediate-oncogenic-risk HPV types can be detected by the use of these consensus primers.

Fisher's exact test was used for the statistical analyses.

Results

The positive rates of the hybrid capture assay and PCR method in different grades of the Pap test are shown in Table 1. The positive rate in the group including Pap smear Classes III, IV + V was greater than that in the group including class I + II (p<0.0001). Similar detection rates were obtained by the PCR method, and no significant differences were observed between the two methods (Table 1). The positive rates by hybrid capture assay in each group with no evidence of CIN, CIN grade 1, CIN grade 2, CIN grade 3, or squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix are shown in Table 2. The positive rate in the group including CIN grade 1, 2, and 3 and squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix was greater than that in the group with no no evidence of CIN (p<0.0001). Similar detection rates were obtained by the PCR method, and no significant differences were observed between the two methods (Table 2). The results of PCR could not be obtained in 17 of 234 samples; 14 of the 17 (82.4%) samples were collected with a cotton swab.

Correlation of the test results between the hybrid capture test and PCR was determined using the 217 samples in which both test results were available. When PCR was set as a gold standard, the hybrid capture test showed high sensitivity 74.6% (50/67) and specificity 92.7% (139/150). Among 61 cases positive by the hybrid capture test, 50 (82.0%) were positive by PCR, and among 156 cases negative by the hybrid capture test 139 (89.1%) were negative by PCR (Table 3).

Discussion

Cervical cancer is one of the most common, yet the most preventable, cancers among women. Among the microorganisms causing STD, specific types of HPV are associated with invasive cervical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Positive rates for human papillomavirus by hybrid capture assay and PCR method in different grades of Papanicolaou test results</th>
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<td>Positive rate by PCR (%)</td>
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Table 2  Positive rates for human papillomavirus by hybrid capture assay and PCR method in different grades of histopathological findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histopathological findings</th>
<th>No evidence of CIN</th>
<th>CIN 1</th>
<th>CIN 2</th>
<th>CIN 3</th>
<th>SCC</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive rate by Hybrid Capture(%)</td>
<td>29.0 (18/62)</td>
<td>55.6 (10/18)</td>
<td>93.8 (15/16)</td>
<td>84.6 (11/13)</td>
<td>88.9 (8/9)</td>
<td>52.5 (62/118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive rate by PCR(%)</td>
<td>32.1 (18/56)</td>
<td>56.3 (9/16)</td>
<td>91.7 (11/12)</td>
<td>72.7 (8/11)</td>
<td>77.8 (7/9)</td>
<td>51.0 (53/104)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Correlation of the test results between Hybrid Capture assay and PCR method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hybrid Capture(Probe B)</th>
<th>Positive rate by Hybrid Capture (%)</th>
<th>Positive rate by PCR (%)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.0 (18/62)</td>
<td>78.6 (44/56)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32.1 (18/56)</td>
<td>72.9 (35/48)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIN : Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia
SCC : Squamous cell carcinoma

cancers and their precursor lesions. Detection of HPV infection is important for predicting cervical cancer precursor lesions. Since HPV infection occurs frequently concomitant with chlamydial and gonococcal infections, examination for HPV DNA is recommended together with *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in patients suspected of having STD. Over 70 types of HPV are known, of which 18 types have been detected in specimens from the cervix. The hybrid capture assay is a nonradioactive assay that utilizes solution hybridization. The RNA probe mixture in this kit includes all the clinically important types of HPV DNA classified as intermediate to high risk types.

Using the hybrid capture assay, Sun detected HPV DNA (by probe B only) in 78 (31%) of 254 samples from women with no evidence of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and in 120 (80%) from 155 women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia or cervical cancer. Using the same method, we detected HPV DNA in 18 (29.0%) of 62 samples from women with no evidence of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and 44 (78.6%) of 56 samples from those with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia or cervical squamous cell carcinoma (p=0.0006). The positive rate increased as the cytological or histological abnormality increased. In a study of women who had attended STD clinic, a 28% of the women with HPV became CIN grade 2 or 3 two years later, while 3% of women without HPV became CIN grade 2 or 3. This finding suggests that HPV infection is associated with malignant transformation of the cervical lesion. This kit may be useful for early detection of the transformation process from HPV infection, via a dysplastic stage, to invasive cancer.

Conventional HPV DNA detection methods are not widely used for clinical purpose because they
Hybrid Capture Assay for HPV Infection

require specific apparatus and equipment, involve complicated procedures, are time consuming, or are
difficult to use with large numbers of clinical specimens. In contrast, the hybrid capture HPV DNA
assay has several advantages over the other detection methods in terms of clinical application. A
large number of clinical specimens can be tested, because it requires no special apparatus or
equipment, the procedures are more convenient, and the whole assay can be completed in only three
and a half hours.

PCR with consensus primers detects almost all the types of HPV DNA; hybrid capture detects
low-oncogenic-risk-HPV type by probe A and high- and intermediate-oncogenic-risk-HPV type by
probe B. Hybrid capture had high sensitivity (74.6%) and specificity (92.7%) by counting the positive
cases reacting to probe B in the present analysis [when counting the positive cases reacting with
probes A and B, sensitivity was 82.0% (50/61) and specificity was 89.1% (139/156)]. Hybrid capture
is a non-amplification method; however it had high sensitivity and specificity compared with PCR set
as a gold standard, though 1) PCR has a significant problem of false positivity1), 2) and sometimes the
PCR result is not obtainable because of the incomplete removal of inhibitory substances in the
specimen. In the present study, the results of PCR could not be obtained in some cases, in most of
which a cotton swab with a wooden stick was used. It is suggested that certain kinds of inhibitory
substances may not be removed by the DNA preparation procedure when a cotton swab with a
wooden stick is used.

Regarding hybrid capture, false negativity should be considered in the cases in which PCR
appears positive and hybrid capture appears negative, because the positive result by hybrid capture
can be obtained only when the concentration of HPV DNA in a sample is greater than 1.0 pg/ml3).
Cope (1997) reported that the sensitivity of the first generation hybrid capture tube method10), with
PCR used as the standard for HPV status, was higher for specimens from women with concurrent
squamous intraepithelial lesions (81.0%) than for specimens from women with normal cytology
(46.7%) when the analysis was restricted to the 14 types detectable by both methods. Though our
samples were not tested by the first generation hybrid capture tube method and our analysis was not
restricted to the same types detectable by both methods, we had similar results; the sensitivity of
hybrid capture, with PCR used as the standard for HPV status, was higher for specimens from women
with Pap smear Class I or II (60.7%; 17/28) than for specimens from women with Pap smear Class
III, IV or V (86.8%; 33/38). It should be borne in mind that a greater proportion of the patients with
normal cytology in the total subjects makes the sensitivity lower.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that hybrid capture HPV DNA assay may become a standard
detection method for diagnosing HPV infection in the clinical situation.
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Human papillomavirus 感染症診断における hybrid capture 法の有用性
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要 旨

非放射線の液相ハイブリダイゼーションを用いた DNA 診断法である Hybrid Capture 法について Human papillomavirus (HPV) 感染症診断における有用性を検討した。検体は宮頸部あるいは外子宮口をスワブで擦過することにより得られた。中〜高リスク型 (Probe B) に属する HPV の陽性率を求めた結果、細胞診 Class I あるいは II の婦人では 13.9% (23/166) が、また、細胞診 Class III, IV, V の婦人では 70.6% (48/68) が陽性を示した (p<0.0001, Fisher の直接法)。子宮頸部上皮内腫瘍の認められない例では 29.0% (18/62) か、また、子宮頸部上皮内腫瘍あるいは子宮頸部では 78.6% (44/56) が陽性を示した (p<0.0001, Fisher の直接法)。Hybrid Capture 法と PCR 法との比較 (n=217) において陽性一致率は 74.6%, 陰性一致率は 92.7% と良好であった。Hybrid Capture 法は臨床における HPV 感染症診断に有用であることが示唆された。